## Case5:09-cv-05679-JF Document22 Filed08/17/10 Page1 of 3 1 DARIN W. SNYDER (S.B. #136003) dsnvder@omm.com 2 LUANN L. SIMMONS (S.B. #203526) lsimmons@omm.com DAVID J. SEPANIK (S.B. #221527) 3 dsepanik@omm.com 4 HARRISON A. WHITMAN (S.B. #261008) hwhitman@omm.com 5 O'MELVENY & MYERS LLP Two Embarcadero Center, 28th Floor 6 San Francisco, CA 94111-3823 Telephone: (415) 984-8700 7 Facsimile: (415) 984-8701 8 Attorneys for Plaintiff Artifex Software Inc. 9 RAGESH K. TANGRI (S.B. #159477) 10 rtangri@durietangri.com JOSEPH C. GRATZ (S.B. #240676) igratz@durietangri.com 11 DURIE TANGRI LLP 12 217 Leidesdorff Street San Francisco, CA 94111 Telephone: (415) 362-6666 13 Facsimile: (415) 236-6300 14 Attorneys for Defendant Palm Inc. 15 16 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 17 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 18 SAN JOSE DIVISION 19 ARTIFEX SOFTWARE INC., a California Case No. 5:09-cv-05679-JF 20 corporation, STIPULATION REGARDING DISCOVERY 21 Plaintiff. **SCHEDULE** 22 v. 23 PALM INC., a Delaware corporation, 24 Defendant. 25 26 27 28

1 **STIPULATION** Plaintiff Artifex Software Inc. ("Artifex"), on the one hand, and defendant Palm Inc. 2 ("Palm") on the other hand, by and through their respective counsel of record, hereby agree and 3 stipulate as follows: 4 5 WHEREAS, the parties submitted a proposed case schedule in their joint 26(f) report 6 7 (Docket No. 18); WHEREAS, the Court adopted the proposed schedule (Docket No. 20); 8 WHEREAS, the parties have been engaged in discussions in an effort to resolve this 9 matter, including participation in an Early Neutral Evaluation pursuant to this Court's ADR 10 Rules; 11 WHEREAS, the parties engaged in only limited discovery during the pendency of their 12 discussions; 13 WHEREAS, the parties are still engaged in discussions in an effort to resolve this matter; 14 NOW THEREFORE, the parties, through their respective counsel of record, agree and 15 stipulate to: 16 (a) extend merits discovery until September 17, 2010; 17 (b) set expert disclosures for October 18, 2010; 18 (c) set expert rebuttal reports for November 16, 2010; 19 (d) set expert discovery cutoff for December 8, 2010; 20 (e) all other dates set in the Court's Order (Docket No. 20) remain the same. 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

1 2	Dated: August 17, 2010	DARIN W. SNYDER O'MELVENY & MYERS, LLP
3		
4		By: /s/ Darin W. Snyder Darin W. Snyder
5		Attorneys for Artifex Software Inc.
6	Dated: August 17, 2010	RAGESH K. TANGRI DURIE TANGRI LLP
7		
8		By: /s/ Ragesh K. Tangri
9		Ragesh K. Tangri Attorneys for Palm Inc.
10	<u>ATTESTATION</u>	
11	Pursuant to General Order No. 45 X(B), I hereby attest that concurrence in the filing of	
12	this document has been obtained from Ragesh K. Tangri.	
13		
14		By:/s/ <u>Darin W. Snyder</u> Darin W. Snyder
15		
16		
17	DATED:	
18	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
19		I IS SO ORDERED.
20		UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE
21		
22		
23		
24		
<ul><li>25</li><li>26</li></ul>		
20 27		
28		
20		2

Case5:09-cv-05679-JF Document22 Filed08/17/10 Page3 of 3